1		JUDGE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
7			
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR23-159-RSM	
9	Plaintiff,		
10	v.)	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL AND	
11	TAMARA KING & PAUL WALN,	PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE	
12	Defendants.		
13			
14	THE COURT has considered the unopposed motion of the defense to continue		
15	the trial date and pretrial motions deadline. Based upon the undisputed facts set forth in		
16	the motion, which are incorporated by reference and adopted as findings of fact, the		
17	Court finds that:		
18	(a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a		
19	continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendants the reasonable time		
20	necessary for effective preparation due to counsel's need for more time to review the		
21	evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set		
22	forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); and		
23	(b) a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in		
24	a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i); and		
25			
26			

(c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendants have requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses; and

- (d) the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in any speedier trial, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A); and
- (e) the additional time requested between the current trial date of November 12, 2024, and the new trial date is necessary to provide counsel for the defendants the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial, considering counsel's schedule and all the facts set forth above; and
- (f) the period of delay from the date of this order to the new trial date is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS ORDERED that the trial date in this matter shall be continued to June 2, 2025. All other deadlines are as follows:

January 31, 2025	Government's expert disclosures due
February 28, 2025	Defense expert disclosures due
March 3, 2025	Pretrial motions due
March 17, 2025	Responses to pretrial motions due
March 24, 2025	Replies in support of pretrial motions due
April 18, 2025	Government's exhibit list & witness list due
April 25, 2025	Defendants' exhibit lists & witness lists due
May 2, 2025	Motions in limine due
May 9, 2025	Responses to motions in limine due
May 12, 2025	Government's trial brief, jury instructions, and proposed voir dire due

1	May 19, 2025
2	June 2, 2025
3	0 0 2, 2020
4	DONE this 3 rd day
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Presented by:
11	s/ Colleen P. Fitzharris
12	Assistant Federal Public D Attorney for Tamara King
13	
14	s/ Bradley Barshis Attorney for Paul Waln
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

May 19, 2025	Defendants' trial briefs, jury instructions, and proposed voir dire due
June 2, 2025	Trial

of July, 2024.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Defender

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, Washington 98101

(206) 553-1100